

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,167	09/08/2003	Douglas J. McKnight	006.0054D1	5688
29906 759	05/24/2004		EXAM	INER
INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ, P.C. 7150 E. CAMELBACK, STE. 325		P.C.	CHOWDHURY, TA	ARIFUR RASHID
SCOTTSDALE,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/658,167 MCKNIGHT, DOUGL		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tarifur R Chowdhury	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35.U.S.C. & 133)	,
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9,13-19 and 25-31</u> is/are pending in	. ,		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wn Irom consideration.		
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-9,13-19 and 25-31</u> are subject to re	estriction and/or election require	ment	
0/23 Claim(0) 1-0,70 70 and 20 07 are 3abject to 10	samonon and/or election require	inent.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 08 September 2003 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	• •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.	-
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
			1
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	ation No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been rece	ved in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	• • • •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei	ved.	
		•	•
Attachment(s)	re e eta se ar a e i que i rasas e sa gapina i e se care se co	e de out se consus sus que o est e occionne en el el	
1)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail		
information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)	•
7			

Application/Control Number: 10/658,167

Art Unit: 2871

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: the specifics being a display system including a first substrate with a plurality of pixel electrodes wherein a first pixel electrode surface has a first distance relative to a first electrode and a second distance relative to a first substrate and a second pixel electrode has a third distance relative to the first electrode and a fourth distance relative to the substrate wherein the first distance is not equal to the second distance and the third distance is not equal to the fourth distance (claims 1-9, 13-19).

Species II: the specifics being a display system including a first electrode which is operatively coupled with an electro-optic layer wherein the electro-optic layer having, for each of the pixel electrodes, substantially the same thickness, which is defined by a distance between the first electrode and a surface of each pixel electrodes (claims 25-31).

Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Application/Control Number: 10/658,167

Art Unit: 2871

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tarifur R Chowdhury whose telephone number is (571) 272-2287. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30-5:00) Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TRC May 20, 2004

TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY
PRIMARY EXAMINER